

SECRET

8 APR 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: D/DCI/IC
FROM: Director of Central Intelligence
SUBJECT: Meeting with Secretary of Defense

1. SecDef told me that we had, some time ago, notified quite a number of major industrialists about the [redacted] [redacted] Can we check and see if this is the case and, if so, whether it is going to be very difficult to hold the lid on it.

25X1

2. SecDef also indicated a keen interest in the National Intelligence R&D Council. He thinks his new Under Secretary, Mr. Bill Perry, would be an ideal person to chair it. Mainly, though, he supports the idea of maintaining this Community-wide council. Let me know what you think and please let me know who's on the council now and who is the chairman.

3. I did raise with SecDef the ADISS and SAFE problem. I only indicated my preconception that we cannot afford to have two duplicative efforts going on like this. [redacted] memo is interesting but not convincing. What is difficult to understand is why Defense analysts and CIA analysts have different requirements if the requirements [redacted] describes are in fact different. The implication that DoD analysts are less experienced than CIA does not hold up. The real issue is whether there are any generically different tasks to be done by the one or the other and, if so, whether those tasks are either necessary or could be satellited onto a single computer system as an excursion, or could be divorced from the main system and done on a small scale project. I would really appreciate your coming back to me with a simple statement of the specific differences in the requirements between the two systems and how we go about merging them into one. The advantages of a single computer data base system for the entire Intelligence Community are overwhelming in my mind. In addition, the specifications for two systems would have to be very persuasive to warrant what would appear to the public to be gross duplication.

25X1

STANSFIELD TURNER
Admiral, U.S. Navy

25X1

SECRET

MORI/CDE